# DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF A NONPRISMATIC BEAM WITH TRANSVERSE OPEN CRACK USING FEM

**Alok Ranjan Biswal\*, Deepak Ranjan Biswal, Sarat Kumar Mishra, B. Mohan Kumar**

**\*Corresponding author: alokbiswal82@gmail.com**

**Abstract:** Now-a-days the structures and machineries are designed based on optimizing of multi-objectives such as maximum strength, maximum life, minimum weight and minimum cost. Due to this they are flexible and allow having a very high level of stresses. This leads to development of cracks in their elements. Many engineering structures may have structural defects such as cracks due to long-term service. So it is very much essential to know the property of structures and response of such structures in various cases. The present article deals with finite element based vibration analysis of a cracked beam. The beam is modeled using the Timoshenko beam theory. The governing equation of motion has been derived by the Hamilton's principle. In order to solve the governing equation two noded beam element with two degrees of freedom (DOF) per node has been considered. In this work the effect of structural damping has also been incorporated in the finite element model. The analysis is carried out by using state space model in frequency domain
.
**Keywords***: Timoshenko beam; Crack; Natural Frequency; Finite element method

## 1. Introduction

It is considered to be a serious threat due to the presence of crack in the performance of the structure. The effects of crack on the dynamic behaviour of the structural elements have been the subject of several investigations for the last few decades. Due to the existence of such cracks the frequencies of natural vibration, amplitudes of forced vibration, and areas of dynamic stability change. In order to identify the magnitude and location of the crack, analysis of these changes is essential. The information from the analysis enables one to determine the degree of sustainability of the structural element and the whole structure. Beams are one of the most commonly used elements in structures and machines, and fatigue cracks are the main cause of beams failure. The introduction of local flexibility due to presence of transverse crack in a structural member whose dimension depends on the number of degrees of freedom considered [1]. It has been observed that the local flexibility matrix is mainly appropriate for the analysis of a cracked beam if one employs an analytical method by solving the differential equations piece wisely [2]. One way to detect cracks on structures is to employ modal testing in which changes in modal parameters such as variations in frequencies and mode shapes are used to detect damage. The detection of structural damage through changes in frequencies was discussed [3]. Moreover, the damage identification basically based on changes in the nodes of mode shapes [4]. It was demonstrated that appropriate use of resonances and anti-resonances can be used to avoid the non-uniqueness of damage location for symmetrical beams.

The free vibration analysis of cantilever beam was discussed. It has been observed that the presence of crack in the beam, will affect the natural frequency. The magnitude for the change of natural frequency depends on the change of (number, depth and location) for the crack. Also the change of dynamic property will effect on stiffness and dynamic behaviour. A method was used to find the lowest four natural frequencies of the cracked structure by finite element method [5]. Identification of cracks in beam structures using Timoshenko and Euler beam formulation has been studied [6]. The Timoshenko and Euler beam formulations have been used to estimate the influence of crack size and location on natural frequencies of cracked beam. Frequency contour method has been used to identify the crack size and location properly. It has been obtained the approximate crack location by using Armon's Rank-ordering method that uses the above four natural frequencies. A method for shaft crack detection have proposed [7] which is based on combination of wave-let based elements and genetic algorithm. The experimental investigations of the effects of cracks and damages on the structures have been

reported [8]. The reduction of Eigen frequencies and sensitivity analysis to localize a crack in a non-rotating shaft coupled to an elastic foundation has been studied [9]. The shaft was modelled by the finite element method and coupled to an experimentally identified foundation model. The different damage scenarios by reducing the local thickness of the selected elements at different locations along with finite element model (FEM) for quantification and localization of damage in beam-like structures is investigated [10]. An analytical as well as experimental approach to the crack detection in cantilever beams by vibration analysis was discussed [11]. The finite element method for static and dynamic analysis of a cracked prismatic beam on the basis of Hamilton's principle was discussed [12]. This article exclusively focused on vibration analysis of a cracked nonprismatic Timoshenko cantilever beam by using finite element analysis. The governing equation of motion has been derived by using Hamilton's principle. In order to solve the governing equation two noded beam element with two degrees of freedom (DOF) per node has been considered. The effect of structural damping has also been incorporated in the finite element model.

## 2. Mathematical Formulation

This mathematical formulation dealt with finite element modeling of uncracked beam and cracked beam which are discussed in the following sections. In order for modeling the cross section of the beam the shape function profile can be represented as

$$A(x) = A_0\left(1 - c\frac{x}{L_b}\right)^2 \tag{1}$$

Where A(x) is represented as the area at any position x of the beam. A0 is the cross section area near the clamped end of the beam. Lb is the length of the beam. c is the taper values which vary from 0 to 1.



**Fig 1**. A cantilever beam with crack subjected to shear force and bending moment.

Figure 1 shows a cantilever beam of circular cross-section having diameter 'D' with a single transverse crack with constant depth 'a'. The crack is at a distance of 'Xc' from the clamped end of the beam. The beam is divided into number of equal number of finite elements having length 'Le'. The dynamic equations of motion for system are derived using Hamilton's principle as

$$\partial\Psi = \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\left[\partial\left(KE - PE + Wp\right)\right]dt = 0 \tag{2}$$

Where '$\partial$' is the variation, t1 and t2 are the starting and finish time, KE is the total kinetic energy, PE is the total potential energy and Wp is the total work done by the external mechanical force. The sum of (KE−PE+Wp) is called the Lagrangian La. The Eq. (2) becomes

$$\partial \psi = \int\limits_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ \int\limits_{v_b} \rho_b \delta \dot{q}^T \dot{q} dv_b - \int\limits_{v_b} \delta S^T c^s S dv_b + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n_f} \delta q(x_i) Q(x_i) \right] \tag{3}$$

This equation can now be used to solve for the equations of motion of dynamical mechanical system. By using finite element formulations the displacement field in terms of shape functions can be represented as

$$q(x,t) = [N_w]\{w\}$$
$$q'(x,t) = [N_\theta]\{w\} \tag{4}$$

Where $[N_w]$ and $[N_\theta]$ are the shape functions for displacement and rotation and $\{w\}$ is the nodal displacements. By doing this the equations describing the system become more recognizable when compared to those of a typical system and help give physical meaning to the parameters in the equations of motion. The mass matrix for the system can be written as

$$[M_b] = \int\limits_0^{L_b} [N_w]^T \rho_b A_b(x) [N_w] dx \tag{5}$$

The stiffness matrix can be written as:

$$[K_b] = \int\limits_0^{L_b} \left[ \frac{\partial [N_\theta]}{\partial x} \right]^T E_b I_b(x) \left[ \frac{\partial [N_\theta]}{\partial x} \right] dx \tag{6}$$

Now considering all the equations in Eq. (3), the equation will become

$$\partial \psi = \int\limits_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ \{\delta \dot{w}^T(t)[M_b]\dot{w}(t)\} + \{\delta w^T(t)[K_b]w(t)\} + \left\{ \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n_f} \delta w(t).[N_w]^T Q_i(t) \right\} \right] \tag{7}$$

Taking the integral of the above equation leaves the dynamic equations.

$$[M_b]\ddot{w}(t) + [K_b]w(t) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n_f} [N_w]^T Q_i(t) \tag{8}$$

The Eq. (8) now represents the mechanical system and can be used to determine the motion of the beam. The amount of mechanical damping added to the model was determined from experimental results. This is done using proportional damping methods and the damping ratio that is predicted from the measured frequency response function. With the damping ratio known, proportional damping can be found as

$$c = \alpha[M_b] + \beta[K_b] \tag{9}$$

Where α and β are determined from

$$\zeta_i = \frac{\alpha}{2\omega_i} + \frac{\beta \omega_i}{2} \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots n$$

Where $\zeta_i$ is the damping ratio found from frequency response of the structure. Hence the Eq. (11) will become

$$[M]\ddot{w}(t) + [C]\dot{w}(t) + [K]w(t) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{nf} [N_w]^T Q_i(t) \tag{10}$$

Where [M], [C] and [K] are the global mass, damping and stiffness matrix of the system .The mass matrix and stiffness matrix have been calculated by numerical integration using Gauss quadrature. From figure (1) the various geometric dimensions can be obtained as

$$b = \sqrt{Da - a^2}$$
$$h = \sqrt{D^2 - 4x^2} \tag{11}$$
$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\left[ \sqrt{D^2 - 4x^2} - (D - 2a) \right]$$

The additional strain energy due to the existence of the crack can be expressed as.

$$\Pi_c = \int\limits_{Ac} G dA \tag{12}$$

---

Where 'G' is the strain energy release rate function. Using Paris equation

$$\delta_i = \frac{\partial \Pi_c}{\partial P_i} \ (i = 2,3) \tag{13}$$

The overall additional flexibility matrix Cij can be obtained as

$$C_{ij} = \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial P_j} = \frac{\partial^2 \Pi_c}{\partial P_i \partial P_j} \ (i = 2,3) \tag{14}$$

Under the FEM co-ordinate and notation system, the relationship between the displacement and the forces can be expressed as

$$\begin{Bmatrix} y_j - y_i - \theta_i \\ \theta_j - \theta_i \end{Bmatrix} = C_{ovl} \begin{Bmatrix} V_j \\ M_j \end{Bmatrix} \tag{15}$$

The flexibility matrix Cintact of the intact Timoshenko beam element can be written as

$$\begin{Bmatrix} y_j - y_i - \theta_i \\ \theta_j - \theta_i \end{Bmatrix} = C_{intact} \begin{Bmatrix} V_j \\ M_j \end{Bmatrix} \tag{16}$$

The Total flexibility matrix of the cracked Timoshenko beam element is obtained by the combination of over-all additional flexibility matrix and flexibility matrix of an intact beam

$$C_{total} = C_{ovl} + C_{intact} \tag{17}$$

Through the equilibrium conditions, the stiffness matrix 'Kc' of a cracked beam element can be obtained as follows

$$K_c = LC_{total}^{-1}L^T \tag{18}$$

Where

## 3. Result and Discussion

The developed MATLAB code is validated and different results are presented. For the analysis a uniform cantilever beam of circular cross section is considered for validation of present code. The length and diameter of the beam are 1500mm and 40 mm. Material properties for the beam are considered as $E = 69$ GPA, $\rho = 7500$ kg/m$^3$ and $\mu = 0.31$. The beam is subjected to 1 N at the end. The length of beam is divided into finite numbers of small elements. The fundamental frequencies are calculated by using the present code developed and compared with the exact solution obtained in Table 1. From Table 1 it is observed that results obtained from the present code are in good agreement with the exact results

Table 1. Comparison of natural frequencies of cantilever beam

| Natural frequency(rad/sec) | Exact | Present code |
|---|---|---|
| $\omega_1$ | 47.342 | 47.21 |
| $\omega_2$ | 296.83 | 295.21 |
| $\omega_3$ | 824.69 | 823.45 |

The effect of taper on the natural frequencies is shown in table 2.The value of taper varies from 0.2 to 0.8.From the table it is observed that as the taper value increases the natural frequencies decreases.

Table 2. Effect of taper on natural frequencies

| c | Natural frequency(rad/sec) | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | $\omega_1$ | $\omega_2$ | $\omega_3$ |

| 0.2 | 47.12 | 294.89 | 823.23 |
| 0.3 | 46.57 | 293.74 | 822.43 |
| 0.4 | 46.04 | 293.02 | 822.05 |
| 0.5 | 45.57 | 292.32 | 821.45 |
| 0.6 | 44.39 | 291.84 | 820.26 |
| 0.7 | 43.69 | 290.46 | 819.12 |
| 0.8 | 42.05 | 290.12 | 818.52 |

Table [3-6] represents the natural frequencies of a cracked Timoshenko beam at various crack positions (such as 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8) and relative crack depths (such as 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5). The taper value of the beam is taken as 0.5.

Table 3. Natural frequencies of Cracked Timoshenko beam, Xc/L=0.075.

| $\omega$ (rad/sec) | Xc/L | $\alpha/D$=0.2 | $\alpha/D$ =0.3 | $\alpha/D$ =0.4 | $\alpha/D$ =0.5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\omega_1$ | 0.075 | 48.23 | 47.43 | 47.32 | 47.03 |
| $\omega_2$ | 0.075 | 295.12 | 294.97 | 294.65 | 294.54 |
| $\omega_3$ | 0.075 | 823.43 | 822.32 | 821.58 | 821.12 |

Table 4. Natural frequencies of Cracked Timoshenko beam, Xc/L=0.275.

| $\omega$ (rad/sec) | Xc/L | $\alpha/D$=0.2 | $\alpha/D$ =0.3 | $\alpha/D$ =0.4 | $\alpha/D$ =0.5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\omega_1$ | 0.275 | 48.59 | 48.12 | 47.83 | 47.63 |
| $\omega_2$ | 0.275 | 296.72 | 295.97 | 295.62 | 295.45 |
| $\omega_3$ | 0.275 | 824.43 | 823.52 | 823.31 | 822.92 |

Table 5. Natural frequencies of Cracked Timoshenko beam, Xc/L=0.475.

| $\omega$ (rad/sec) | Xc/L | $\alpha/D$=0.2 | $\alpha/D$ =0.3 | $\alpha/D$ =0.4 | $\alpha/D$ =0.5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\omega_1$ | 0.475 | 49.63 | 48.43 | 48.32 | 48.08 |
| $\omega_2$ | 0.475 | 297.12 | 296.97 | 296.65 | 296.54 |
| $\omega_3$ | 0.475 | 825.43 | 824.95 | 824.28 | 824.12 |

Table 6. Natural frequencies of Cracked Timoshenko beam, Xc/L=0.675.

| $\omega$ (rad/sec) | Xc/L | $\alpha/D$=0.2 | $\alpha/D$ =0.3 | $\alpha/D$ =0.4 | $\alpha/D$ =0.5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\omega_1$ | 0.675 | 49.97 | 49.53 | 48.82 | 48.23 |
| $\omega_2$ | 0.675 | 298.12 | 297.97 | 297.65 | 297.54 |
| $\omega_3$ | 0.675 | 826.43 | 825.32 | 825.14 | 825.02 |

It is observed from the tables that natural frequencies of cracked beam decreases as crack depth increases at a particular position. This is due to fact that presence of crack reduces the stiffness matrix of the beam hence reduces the natural frequency. Figures 3-5 show the mode shapes for uncracked and cracked Timoshenko beam with relative crack position of 0.275 and relative crack depths of 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5.The taper value of the beam is taken as 0.5. It is observed that due to presence of crack with various relative crack depths there are variations in mode shapes. By using the state space representation the dynamic analysis of the cracked beam has been conducted.The load of 0.5 N is applied at the end of cantilever beam.The frequency response of uncracked and cracked beam with relative crack depths of 0.2,0.3,0.4 and 0.5 has been shown in figure 6.From the figure it is observed that the amplitude of cracked beam at first natural frequency  decreases for all cases as compared to uncracked beam.

**Fig 3.** FRF of uncracked (a=0.0) and cracked beam (a=0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5), $X_C/L$=0.075.



**Fig 4.** FRF of uncracked (a=0.0) and cracked beam (a=0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5), $X_C/L$=0.275.

**Fig 5.** FRF of uncracked (a=0.0) and cracked beam (a=0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5), $X_C/L$=0.475.



**Fig 6.** FRF of uncracked (a=0.0) and cracked beam (a=0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5), $X_C/L$=0.675.

The frequency responses of cracked beam in frequency domain are shown in figures 3-6. From the figures it is concluded that the amplitude of frequency response of cracked beam dies out with increase in frequency. This is due to the presence of structural damping which is responsible for reduction in amplitude.

## 4. Conclusion

The present article focused on the vibration analysis of a cracked beam using finite element formulation. The beam is modeled using the Timoshenko beam theory by considering the rotary inertia and shear deformations. two noded beam elements with two degrees of freedom at each node is considered in order to solve the governing equation. From the analysis it is observed that due to presence of crack in a beam the natural frequencies decreases as the relative crack depth increases. Again from dynamic analysis it is observed that the

amplitude of vibration of cracked beam decreases by varying the relative crack depths as compared to uncracked beam.

## References

[1]     Al-Qaisia, A., Catania, G.,Meneghetti, U.: Crack localization in non-rotating shafts coupled to elastic foundation using sensitivity analysis techniques. Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering.9,176-201(2003)

[2]     Davis, P. J.,Rabinowitz, P. Methods of numerical integration. Courier Corporation (2007)

[3]     Dilena, M.,Morassi, A.: Identification of crack location in vibrating beams from changes in node positions. Journal of Sound and Vibration.255,915-930(2002)

[4]     Dimarogonas, A.,Papadopoulos, C.: Vibration of cracked shafts in bending. Journal of Sound and Vibration.91,583-593(1983)

[5]     Inman, D. J.,Singh, R. C. Engineering vibration. Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River (2001)

[6]     Kisa, M.,Gurel, M. A.: Free vibration analysis of uniform and stepped cracked beams with circular cross sections. International Journal of Engineering Science.45,364-380(2007)

[7]     Lee, Y.-S.,Chung, M.-J.: A study on crack detection using eigen frequency test data. Computers & structures.77,327-342(2000)

[8]     Nahvi, H.,Jabbari, M.: Crack detection in beams using experimental modal data and finite element model. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences.47,1477-1497(2005)

[9]     Owolabi, G., Swamidas, A.,Seshadri, R.: Crack detection in beams using changes in frequencies and amplitudes of frequency response functions. Journal of sound and vibration.265,1-22(2003)

[10]   Papadopoulos, C.,Dimarogonas, A.: Coupled longitudinal and bending vibrations of a rotating shaft with an open crack. Journal of sound and vibration.117,81-93(1987)

[11]   Parhi, D.,Dash, A. K.: Faults detection by finite element analysis of a multi cracked beam using vibration signatures. International Journal of Vehicle Noise and Vibration.6,40-54(2010)

[12]   Rao, S. S.,Yap, F. F. Mechanical vibrations. Addison-Wesley Reading (1995)

**Biographical Notes**

**Er. Alok Ranjan Biswal** is an Associate Professor in Mechanical Engineering Department in DRIEMS, Cuttack, Odisha. He is an alumnus of National Institute of Technology, Rourkela with Ph. D. in Machine Design. He has done his B.E.(Mechanical Engineering) under Utkal University with first class (Hons.) having university ranking and obtained Master of Technology in CAD/CAM from GIET, Gunpur under BPUT, Odisha. He has 10 yrs. of teaching experiences in both Govt. and Private Institutes. He has significant no. of publications (both SCI and Scopus indexed) and attended many National and International Conferences so far. He has been acted as reviewer of many International Journals. He has been awarded as *Best Teacher* for three times (2007, 2009 and 2010) in JIET, Cuttack, Odisha for his significant contribution towards excellence in teaching.

**Er. Deepak Ranjan Biswal** is an Associate Professor and Head in the Department of Mechanical Engineering in DRIEMS, Cuttack, Odisha. He has done his B.E.(Mechanical Engineering) under Utkal University with first class (Hons.) and obtained Master of Technology in CAD/CAM from GIET, Gunpur under BPUT, Odisha. He is currently pursuing his Ph.D. in Robotics and Automation in CET, Bhubaneswar under BPUT, Odisha. He has more than 14 yrs. of teaching experiences in both Govt. and Private Institutes. He has significant no. of publications in National and International Journals and Conferences so far and also authored a book *Hydraulics and Fluid Mechanics*. Many students have been nominated excellence awards in various Universities and National label Project Exhibitions under his guidance. He has been awarded as best performer in WIPRO Mission 10X Faculty development programme. He is also a life member of ISTE, India.

**Dr. Sarat Kumar Mishra** is a Professor of Mechanical Engineering and Principal (Degree Wing) in DRIEMS, Cuttack, Odisha. He is an alumnus of NIT Rourkela and done his Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering from BPUT, Odisha. He has more than 23 Yrs of Teaching experiences in many Institutions so far. He has significant number of publications, both National and International repute Journals and Conferences and also authored of seven Books for Engineering students. He has guided many M.Tech and Ph.D students.

B Mohan Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of mechanical Engineering, DRIEMS, Cuttack ODISHA obtained his B.Tech degree in Mechanical Engineering from BPUT and M. Tech with specialization in Cryogenic and Vacuum Technology, from NIT Rourkela. He has more than 3 years of teaching experience and 1 year of industrial experience. He has published a book, "A-Z Mathematical Formulae". He has supervised number of B.Tech projects, some of which won prizes in various national label project exhibitions. His students are placed in various prestigious institution and industries. Recently he is working on providing digital platform for all type of support for engineering student.